International Journal of Economics, Management and Social Science
Vol 8 No 3 September 2025
E-ISSN: 2614-3828 | P-ISSN: 2614-3887
Open Access: https://journal.salewangang.net/ijemss/index

Beyond Personalization: The Paradox of AI-Driven Marketing and Consumer Trust in the Age of Data Privacy Concerns

Halim Dwi Putra*[1], Juwita Azizah[2]
[1]Politeknik Negeri Bengkalis, Indonesia
[2]Institut Teknologi Mitra Gama, Bengkalis, Indonesia
email: [1]halim@polbeng.ac.id, [2]juwita1722@gmail.com

Article Info :
Received:
11/09/2025
Revised:
13/09/2025
Accepted:
25/09/2025

ABSTRACT

This study examined the paradoxical relationship between AI-driven personalization and consumer trust in the context of escalating data privacy concerns. While advanced artificial intelligence technologies enabled highly tailored marketing experiences, they simultaneously intensified perceptions of surveillance and data misuse. The research aimed to investigate how AI capability influenced perceived personalization benefits, privacy concerns, and ultimately consumer trust and purchase intention. A quantitative approach was employed using survey data collected from 320 digital consumers, analyzed through structural equation modeling (SEM). The findings revealed that AI-driven personalization significantly enhanced perceived relevance and engagement; however, it also heightened privacy concerns, which negatively affected consumer trust. Notably, trust was found to mediate the relationship between personalization and purchase intention, highlighting a critical trade-off between personalization effectiveness and ethical data practices. Furthermore, transparency and perceived control over personal data moderated the negative effects of privacy concerns, suggesting that responsible AI governance could mitigate trust erosion. The study concluded that while AI-driven marketing strategies improved short-term engagement outcomes, long-term sustainability depended on balancing personalization with privacy assurance. These findings contributed to the emerging discourse on ethical AI marketing by proposing a trust-centered framework that reconciled technological advancement with consumer protection in the digital economy.

Keywords: AI-driven marketing; consumer trust; data privacy; personalization paradox

INTRODUCTION

The rapid proliferation of artificial intelligence (AI) in marketing has fundamentally transformed how firms interact with consumers, shifting from mass communication toward hyper-personalized engagement. AI-driven systems have enabled organizations to analyze vast amounts of consumer data, predict preferences, and deliver tailored content in real time. This transformation has been widely associated with improved customer experience, higher engagement rates, and increased purchase intention. However, alongside these benefits, growing concerns regarding data privacy, surveillance, and algorithmic transparency have emerged, raising critical questions about the sustainability of AI-driven personalization strategies. The urgency of this issue has intensified in the digital economy, where consumers are increasingly aware of how their personal data are collected, processed, and monetized (Martin, K. D., & Murphy, P. E. 2023., Pizzi, G., Scarpi, D., & Pantano, E. 2023.,Kshetri, N. 2023., Gursoy, D., Chi, O. H., Lu, L., & Nunkoo, R. 2023)..

Preliminary evidence suggests a paradoxical phenomenon: while consumers appreciate personalized recommendations for their relevance and convenience, they simultaneously experience discomfort and

distrust when such personalization is perceived as intrusive. This tension reflects the broader "personalization–privacy paradox," where the perceived value of personalization coexists with heightened privacy concerns. From a theoretical perspective, this phenomenon can be explained through the integration of Privacy Calculus Theory, which posits that consumers weigh perceived benefits against risks, and Trust Theory, which emphasizes the role of perceived integrity, competence, and transparency in shaping consumer confidence. Furthermore, advancements in AI capability have reconfigured the traditional "moment of truth" in consumer decision-making, where algorithmic interactions increasingly mediate trust formation (Susser, D., Roessler, B., & Nissenbaum, H. 2023., Dwivedi, Y. K., Hughes, L., Ismagilova, E., Aarts,& Williams, M. D. 2023., Huang, M.-H., & Rust, R. T. 2024., Mikalef, P., Fjørtoft, S. O., & Torvatn, H. Y. 2023. Zhang, K. Z. K., Min, Q., & Chen, H. 2024.,Shankar, V. 2024)

Despite the growing body of research on personalization and privacy, several gaps remain. First, prior studies have largely examined personalization benefits and privacy risks in isolation, without adequately capturing their simultaneous and interactive effects on consumer trust. Second, limited attention has been given to the role of AI-driven marketing capability as a dual-edged mechanism that both enhances and undermines trust. Third, there is a lack of integrative frameworks that account for moderating factors such as transparency and perceived consumer control in mitigating privacy concerns. These gaps indicate the need for a more nuanced understanding of how AI reshapes the trust–privacy–personalization nexus.

This study offers a novel contribution by proposing a trust-centered perspective that reconceptualizes AI-driven personalization not merely as a technological capability, but as a relational mechanism embedded within ethical and psychological boundaries. It integrates personalization effectiveness and privacy concerns within a single structural framework, highlighting the mediating role of trust and the moderating influence of transparency and control. By doing so, it advances the discourse beyond the conventional dichotomy of benefits versus risks.

Accordingly, the objectives of this study are threefold: (1) to examine the effect of AI-driven personalization on consumer trust and purchase intention; (2) to analyze the impact of privacy concerns as a countervailing force within AI-mediated interactions; and (3) to investigate the moderating roles of transparency and perceived control in shaping the relationship between personalization and trust. Through this approach, the study seeks to provide both theoretical enrichment and practical implications for developing sustainable, ethical, and trust-oriented AI marketing strategies in the era of data-driven economies..

RESEARCH METHODS

This study employed a quantitative, explanatory research design to examine the structural relationships between AI-driven personalization, privacy concerns, consumer trust, and purchase intention. The research adopted a cross-sectional survey approach, which has been widely applied in digital marketing and consumer behavior studies (Chen & Lin, 2024; Kumar et al., 2024).

The population consisted of active digital consumers who frequently interacted with AI-based platforms such as e-commerce, social media, and recommendation systems. A purposive sampling technique was used to ensure that respondents had prior experience with AI-driven personalization. A total of 320 valid responses were collected, meeting the minimum sample size requirements for structural equation modeling (SEM) (Hair et al., 2024).

Data were gathered using a structured questionnaire measured on a five-point Likert scale. The measurement items were adapted from validated scales in recent studies on personalization, privacy concerns, and trust (Zhang et al., 2024; Li & Wang, 2024). Constructs included AI-driven personalization capability, perceived personalization benefits, privacy concerns, consumer trust, perceived control, transparency, and purchase intention. A pilot test involving 30 respondents was conducted to ensure clarity and reliability of the instrument.

Data analysis was performed using Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM), which is suitable for complex predictive models and theory development (Sarstedt et al., 2024). The analysis followed a two-stage approach: (1) assessment of the measurement model, including reliability (Cronbach's alpha and composite reliability) and validity (convergent and discriminant validity), and (2) evaluation of the structural model through path coefficients, coefficient of determination (R^2), and hypothesis testing using bootstrapping procedures (Hair et al., 2024). Moderation effects of transparency and perceived control were also tested to examine their role in mitigating privacy concerns.

All procedures adhered to established quantitative research standards in digital marketing and consumer trust literature, ensuring the robustness and validity of the findings.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The study was designed to examine the dual effect of AI-driven personalization on consumer trust by incorporating privacy concerns as a countervailing variable and testing the moderating roles of transparency and perceived control. The structural model was evaluated using PLS-SEM with bootstrapping (5,000 resamples). The model demonstrated acceptable explanatory power, with R^2 values indicating moderate to substantial predictive relevance for consumer trust ($R^2 = 0.61$) and purchase intention ($R^2 = 0.67$). These values suggested that the proposed model adequately captured the variance explained by AI-driven personalization and privacy-related constructs.

The results revealed that AI-driven personalization had a significant positive effect on perceived personalization benefits ($\beta = 0.72$, $p < 0.001$), confirming that advanced AI capabilities enhanced perceived relevance and user experience. However, AI-driven personalization also showed a significant positive relationship with privacy concerns ($\beta = 0.48$, $p < 0.001$), indicating that increased algorithmic sophistication heightened consumer awareness of data usage and potential risks. Privacy concerns, in turn, exerted a significant negative effect on consumer trust ($\beta = -0.41$, $p < 0.001$), supporting the hypothesis that perceived intrusiveness undermined trust formation.

Furthermore, consumer trust was found to significantly influence purchase intention ($\beta = 0.63$, $p < 0.001$), confirming its central mediating role. The mediation analysis indicated that trust partially mediated the relationship between personalization and purchase intention, thereby validating the paradoxical mechanism in which personalization simultaneously strengthened and weakened consumer responses. The moderation analysis demonstrated that transparency ($\beta = 0.21$, $p < 0.01$) and perceived control ($\beta = 0.25$, $p < 0.01$) significantly reduced the negative impact of privacy concerns on trust, suggesting that ethical AI practices could buffer adverse effects.

Table 1. Hypothesis Testing Results

Hypothesis	**Relationship**	**Path Coefficient (β)**	**t-value**	**p-value**	**Result**
H1	AI Personalization → Perceived Benefits	0.72	12.45	<0.001	Supported
H2	AI Personalization → Privacy Concerns	0.48	8.67	<0.001	Supported
H3	Privacy Concerns → Consumer Trust	-0.41	7.12	<0.001	Supported
H4	Consumer Trust → Purchase Intention	0.63	10.21	<0.001	Supported
H5	Transparency × Privacy Concerns → Trust	0.21	3.45	<0.01	Supported
H6	Control × Privacy Concerns → Trust	0.25	3.98	<0.01	Supported

The findings highlighted a critical paradox in AI-driven marketing. While personalization enhanced consumer experience and engagement, it simultaneously triggered privacy concerns that eroded trust. This result aligned with the expectations derived from Privacy Calculus Theory, where consumers evaluated benefits against perceived risks. The findings also extended Trust Theory by demonstrating

that trust was not solely built through competence (i.e., accurate personalization) but was equally dependent on ethical perceptions such as transparency and control.

The results were consistent with recent literature suggesting that personalization effectiveness was contingent upon perceived fairness and data governance practices. However, this study diverged from earlier research that treated personalization benefits as unconditionally positive by empirically demonstrating their simultaneous negative externalities. This dual effect underscored the complexity of AI-driven interactions in modern digital ecosystems.

Alternative explanations could be considered. For instance, cultural context and digital literacy levels might influence how consumers interpreted AI-driven personalization and privacy risks. In emerging or 3T regions, lower awareness of data privacy could attenuate the negative effect of privacy concerns, while in more digitally mature contexts, the effect might be stronger. Additionally, platform type (e.g., social media vs. e-commerce) could moderate these relationships. The implications of this study were both theoretical and managerial. Theoretically, it contributed to the growing discourse on AI ethics by integrating personalization and privacy into a unified trust-centered framework. Managerially, it suggested that firms should not solely focus on algorithmic accuracy but must also invest in transparent communication and user empowerment mechanisms to sustain long-term trust. Despite its contributions, the study had limitations. The cross-sectional design restricted causal inference, and the reliance on self-reported data might introduce response bias. Furthermore, the sample was limited to digitally active consumers, which might not fully represent less technologically engaged populations. Future research should explore longitudinal designs to capture evolving consumer perceptions over time and examine cross-cultural differences in privacy sensitivity. Additionally, experimental approaches could be employed to isolate the causal effects of transparency interventions, while integrating emerging constructs such as AI explainability and ethical branding could further enrich the understanding of trust dynamics in AI-driven marketing.

CONCLUSION

This study confirmed the central paradox of AI-driven marketing: while personalization enhanced perceived relevance and engagement, it simultaneously intensified privacy concerns that undermined consumer trust. The findings demonstrated that AI capability functioned as a double-edged mechanism, strengthening perceived benefits yet triggering perceptions of intrusiveness that negatively affected trust formation. Importantly, consumer trust emerged as a critical mediator linking personalization to purchase intention, indicating that the effectiveness of AI-driven strategies ultimately depended on the ability to sustain trust rather than merely optimize personalization accuracy. The results further established that transparency and perceived control mitigated the adverse effects of privacy concerns, highlighting the essential role of ethical data practices in restoring consumer confidence.These conclusions underscored the importance of reorienting AI-driven marketing from a purely technological focus toward a trust-centered approach. The study was important because it provided a clearer understanding of why highly advanced personalization did not automatically translate into positive consumer outcomes, particularly in an era marked by heightened data privacy awareness. By demonstrating that trust served as the decisive factor in converting personalization into behavioral intention, this research offered both theoretical and practical insights for developing sustainable and responsible AI marketing strategies.

REFERENCES

Dwivedi, Y. K., Hughes, L., Ismagilova, E., Aarts,& Williams, M. D. (2023). Artificial intelligence (AI): Multidisciplinary perspectives on emerging challenges, opportunities, and agenda for research, practice and policy. International Journal of Information Management, 70, 102638.

Gursoy, D., Chi, O. H., Lu, L., & Nunkoo, R. (2023). Consumers acceptance of artificially intelligent (AI) device use in service delivery. International Journal of Information Management, 68, 102567.

Huang, M.-H., & Rust, R. T. (2024). Artificial intelligence in service. Journal of Service Research, 27(1), 3–19.

Kshetri, N. (2023). Privacy and security issues in big data and AI-driven marketing. IT Professional, 25(2), 18–24.

Martin, K. D., & Murphy, P. E. (2023). The role of data privacy in marketing. Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 51(2), 267–284.

Mikalef, P., Fjørtoft, S. O., & Torvatn, H. Y. (2023). Artificial intelligence capability: Conceptualization, measurement calibration, and empirical study on its impact on organizational creativity and firm performance. Information & Management, 60(2), 103512.

Pizzi, G., Scarpi, D., & Pantano, E. (2023). Artificial intelligence and the new forms of interaction: Who has the control when interacting with AI? Journal of Business Research, 149, 292–301.

Shankar, V. (2024). How artificial intelligence is reshaping retailing. Journal of Retailing, 100(1), 1–12.

Susser, D., Roessler, B., & Nissenbaum, H. (2023). Technology, autonomy, and manipulation. Internet Policy Review, 12(1), 1–25.

Zhang, K. Z. K., Min, Q., & Chen, H. (2024). The impact of personalization on privacy concerns and trust in AI-enabled platforms. Electronic Commerce Research and Applications, 58, 101234.