



# International Journal of Economics, Management and Social Science

Vol 9 No 1 March 2026

E-ISSN: 2614-3828 | P-ISSN: 2614-3887

Open Access: <https://journal.salewangang.net/ijemss/index>

## Dialectics of Globalization and Local Identity Resistance: Cultural Negotiation in Contemporary Indonesian Society

Suyadi<sup>1\*</sup>, Oman Sukmana<sup>2</sup>, Gonda Yumitro<sup>2</sup>, Nurudin<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Doctoral Program in Sociology, Graduate School Directorate

Universitas Muhammadiyah Malang, Indonesia

<sup>2,3,4</sup> Universitas Muhammadiyah Malang, Indonesia

\*Correspondence: [suyadi@webmail.umm.ac.id](mailto:suyadi@webmail.umm.ac.id)

### Article Info :

Received:

17/02/2026

Revised:

20/02/2026

Accepted:

24/02/2026

### ABSTRACT

*This article examines the dialectical relationship between globalization forces and local identity resistance in contemporary Indonesian society, focusing on cultural negotiation processes. Globalization, understood as intensification of worldwide social relations linking distant localities, creates tensions with local cultural identities rooted in specific territorial communities. Indonesia's cultural diversity, with over 300 ethnic groups and 700 languages, provides particularly rich context for examining how local communities negotiate between global cultural flows and traditional identity markers. Drawing on recent ethnographic research and cultural studies, this analysis explores how Indonesian communities employ diverse strategies including syncretism, selective appropriation, strategic essentialism, and creative hybridization to maintain cultural distinctiveness while engaging global modernity. The findings reveal that rather than simple homogenization or polarization, Indonesian cultural dynamics exhibit complex negotiations wherein local actors actively shape globalization processes to serve community purposes. Case studies from West Java, Bali, and Papua demonstrate varying patterns of cultural negotiation reflecting different historical trajectories, economic positions, and political contexts. This article contributes to globalization studies by demonstrating that local communities are not passive recipients of global forces but active agents constructing hybrid cultural formations through strategic negotiation.*

**Keywords:** Globalization, local identity, cultural resistance, cultural negotiation, Indonesia, hybridization, glocalization, cultural diversity



©2022 Authors.. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0 International License.

(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>)

### INTRODUCTION

Globalization fundamentally transforms social life in the twenty-first century, intensifying connections across vast distances while simultaneously generating resistance from communities seeking to preserve local identities. Indonesia, as the world's fourth most populous nation with extraordinary ethnic, linguistic, and religious diversity, provides particularly compelling context for examining the dialectics between global integration and local distinctiveness. With over 17,000 islands spanning 5,000 kilometers, 300 ethnic groups, 700 languages, and traditions ranging from animist to Islamic, Buddhist, Hindu, and Christian, Indonesia embodies cultural complexity that complicates simple narratives about globalization's effects (Vickers, 2021). The nation's historical experience of colonial encounter, postcolonial nation-building, and accelerating economic integration into global markets makes it an especially instructive case for examining how cultural identity persists, transforms, and resists under conditions of intensifying global connection.

The scholarly debate on globalization and cultural identity has moved considerably beyond early modernization paradigms that predicted uniform cultural convergence toward Western models. Theoretical perspectives that once framed globalization as an inexorable force of cultural homogenization—a "McDonaldization" of world culture—have given way to more nuanced accounts attentive to the agency of local communities, the creativity of cultural adaptation, and the heterogeneous outcomes that emerge when global cultural flows encounter the specificity of local contexts (Appadurai, 2020; Robertson, 2019). The concept of "glocalization," developed by Robertson, captures this dialectical dynamic: the global does not simply override the local but is itself transformed through encounters with local particularities, producing outcomes that are simultaneously global in their connections and local in their form and meaning. Indonesia's cultural landscape exemplifies this glocalization dynamic across dimensions ranging from popular culture and religious practice to political mobilization and economic organization.

The Indonesian context presents particular analytical advantages for examining globalization-local identity dialectics. Indonesia's postcolonial condition—the legacies of Dutch colonial rule, Japanese occupation, and the Sukarno and Suharto eras in shaping both cultural policy and identity politics—establishes a historical depth that purely contemporary analysis misses. The nation's extraordinary internal diversity means that globalization's effects are not uniform across its territories: communities in economically integrated urban Java face very different globalization dynamics than Indigenous communities in Papua, coastal trading communities in Sulawesi, or tourist-economy communities in Bali. This internal diversity enables comparative analysis of how different structural positions—economic, geographic, political, and cultural—mediate the globalization-local identity encounter, generating the varied negotiation strategies that characterize contemporary Indonesian cultural life (Tsing, 2021).

This article addresses three interrelated analytical questions: (1) How do established theoretical frameworks illuminate the mechanisms through which global cultural flows interact with local identity formations in the Indonesian context? (2) What specific strategies do Indonesian communities employ to negotiate between global integration and local cultural distinctiveness, and under what conditions do these strategies prove effective? (3) How do digital technologies reshape the terms of globalization-local identity negotiation, creating new possibilities for both cultural preservation and cultural transformation? These questions are addressed through systematic analysis drawing on ethnographic research, cultural studies, and sociological theory, with illustrative tables synthesizing key patterns.

## **METHODS**

This study employs qualitative research methodology combining systematic literature review of recent ethnographic studies, cultural analyses, and sociological research on Indonesian society published between 2018 and 2022, with analysis of secondary data on cultural change patterns. The analytical framework draws on theories of glocalization, cultural hybridity, strategic essentialism, and postcolonial studies to interpret patterns of cultural negotiation observed across Indonesian communities. Database searches were conducted in JSTOR, Scopus, Google Scholar, and regional area studies repositories using search terms including "Indonesia cultural identity," "glocalization Indonesia," "local resistance globalization," "adat Indonesia," "Indonesian digital culture," and "cultural hybridization Southeast Asia."

Case selection followed a purposive theoretical sampling logic, identifying communities and cultural domains that illustrate distinct configurations of the globalization-local identity encounter. West Java, Bali, and Papua were selected as primary case contexts because they represent substantially different positions within Indonesia's political economy, different relationships to global cultural and economic flows, and different historical trajectories of engagement with external cultural influences. West Java's wayang golek puppet theater tradition illustrates selective appropriation of digital media for cultural revitalization; Bali's Hindu-Balinese ritual culture illustrates the commodification-preservation tension characteristic of tourist-economy communities; Papua's Indigenous communities illustrate strategic essentialism in the context of resource extraction conflicts and human rights claims. Urban

Indonesia's hijab fashion and digital media culture provides a fourth context illustrating creative hybridization in the domain of religious identity and consumer culture.

Data analysis followed an interpretive thematic approach, identifying recurrent strategies of cultural negotiation across cases and theoretical frameworks. The development of typological tables synthesizing these strategies, theoretical frameworks, and empirical cases reflects both the analytical goal of identifying patterns across diverse contexts and the pedagogical goal of presenting findings accessibly for interdisciplinary audiences. All case analyses are based on secondary sources—published ethnographies, cultural analyses, and sociological studies—rather than primary fieldwork, with full source attribution provided throughout.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Theoretical Frameworks for Globalization and Local Identity Dialectics

Theoretical frameworks for understanding globalization-local identity dialectics have evolved considerably beyond early modernization paradigms predicting universal cultural convergence. Contemporary scholars emphasize that globalization produces heterogenization alongside homogenization, creating complex cultural landscapes wherein global and local mutually constitute each other (Appadurai, 2020; Robertson, 2019). Table 1 presents the major theoretical frameworks informing this analysis, their core concepts, and their specific applications to the Indonesian context of local identity negotiation.

**Table 1.**  
Theoretical Frameworks for Understanding Globalization and Local Identity Dialectics

Theorist / Concept	Key Framework	Application to Local Identity	Source
Arjun Appadurai	Scapes theory (ethnoscapes, mediascapes, technoscapes, financiescapes, ideoscapes)	Global flows are disjunctive; local communities selectively engage global scapes	Appadurai (2020)
Roland Robertson	Glocalization	Global and local are mutually constitutive; universalism particularizes itself	Robertson (2019)
Homi Bhabha	Cultural Hybridity; Third Space	Identity negotiation occurs in liminal third spaces producing new cultural forms	Tsing (2021)
Gayatri Spivak	Strategic Essentialism	Marginalized groups temporarily deploy fixed identity claims for political purposes	Ramstedt & Thufail (2019)
Anna Tsing	Friction	Global connections are always transformed through encounters with local specificity	Tsing (2021)

*Source: Synthesized from Appadurai (2020), Robertson (2019), Tsing (2021), and Ramstedt & Thufail (2019).*

Appadurai's (2020) theory of global cultural flows offers one of the most analytically sophisticated frameworks for understanding how globalization operates through multiple, disjunctive dimensions. His concept of cultural "scapes"—ethnoscapes (flows of people), technoscapes (flows of technology), financiescapes (flows of capital), mediascapes (flows of media images and narratives), and ideoscapes (flows of political ideologies)—challenges monolithic conceptions of globalization as a singular force and instead reveals it as a complex of intersecting yet independent flows that different communities engage with selectively and unevenly. For Indonesian communities, these scapes interact in context-specific ways: labor migration connects rural Javanese communities to Middle Eastern labor markets; satellite television and internet platforms distribute global media content through local filter bubbles

shaped by linguistic and religious affiliation; international investment in natural resource extraction links Papuan communities to global financial flows in ways that threaten land rights and cultural survival; and Islamic media networks connect Indonesian Muslim communities to transnational religious conversations that simultaneously enrich and contest local religious practice.

Robertson's (2019) concept of glocalization captures perhaps the most fundamental insight of contemporary globalization theory: the global and local are not opposites but mutually constitutive dimensions of a single process. Global forces do not arrive in local contexts as fully formed external impositions but are themselves shaped by the local contexts through which they are filtered, interpreted, and reproduced. The universalism that globalization promotes always particularizes itself—takes on local color, local meaning, and local social function—through the encounter with specific communities, histories, and power relations. This insight challenges both globalization optimists who celebrate the spread of universal values and globalization pessimists who lament the destruction of authentic local cultures: neither convergence nor resistance, but creative transformation, characterizes the actual dynamics of most globalization-local identity encounters. Tsing's (2021) concept of "friction"—the rough edges of global encounters that slow, redirect, and transform global flows through their encounter with local specificity—provides a complementary framework emphasizing the productive generativity of globalization's encounter with locality rather than either its homogenizing power or local resistance to it.

The postcolonial theoretical tradition contributes critical perspectives on power that frameworks focused primarily on cultural flows can underemphasize. For Indonesian communities, globalization does not arrive in a neutral field but in a context already shaped by centuries of colonial domination, postcolonial nation-building, and the legacies of authoritarian developmentalism under Suharto. These historical power relations establish unequal terms for cultural encounter: communities that have already experienced cultural dispossession—through colonial language policies, missionary activity, or developmentalist assimilation programs—approach global cultural flows with different resources and vulnerabilities than communities whose cultural institutions have remained more intact. Strategic essentialism, the tactic of temporarily deploying fixed and simplified identity claims for political purposes, must be understood within this postcolonial context: it represents not cultural authenticity but political survival strategy, deployed by communities that recognize their cultural claims must be legible within dominant institutional frameworks to receive recognition and protection (Ramstedt & Thufail, 2019).

### Regional Case Studies: Patterns of Cultural Negotiation in Indonesia

Indonesia's regional diversity generates substantially different patterns of globalization-local identity negotiation depending on communities' historical trajectories, economic positions, and relationships to both national and global power structures. Table 2 presents comparative case study data from four distinct Indonesian contexts, illustrating how the same broad phenomenon—the encounter between global cultural flows and local identity formations—produces varied outcomes depending on structural conditions and community agency.

**Table 2.**

Comparative Case Studies of Cultural Negotiation Across Indonesian Regions

Region	Cultural Domain	Negotiation Strategy	Global Influence	Outcome
West Java	Wayang golek puppet theater	Selective appropriation: digital production, online streaming	Digital media platforms	Revitalized tradition via new audiences
Bali	Hindu-Balinese ritual culture	Touristic commodification balanced with sacred preservation	Global tourism industry	Hybrid tourism-ritual landscape
Papua	Adat (customary law)	Strategic essentialism:	International human	Contested

	and land rights	indigeneity claims in legal forums	rights norms	recognition; partial legal gains
National (Urban)	Islamic fashion and digital media	Creative hybridization: modest fashion meets global trends	Global halal economy	Indonesia as global modest-fashion hub

*Source: Synthesized from Weintraub (2020), Picard & Wood (2021), Bubandt & von Benda-Beckmann (2019), and Baulch & Pramiyanti (2022).*

West Java's wayang golek puppet theater tradition illustrates the strategy of selective appropriation, through which communities deliberately adopt specific global tools and platforms to serve distinctly local cultural purposes. Wayang golek—the three-dimensional wooden puppet theater tradition of Sundanese West Java—faced significant decline in the late twentieth century as television, film, and popular music competed for younger audiences' attention and entertainment time. Rather than resisting digital media as a threat, practitioners and community organizations selectively appropriated digital production technologies and online distribution platforms to reach new audiences, archive endangered performance traditions, and connect diaspora communities to their cultural heritage. Weintraub's (2020) ethnographic research documents how dalang (puppeteers) increasingly perform for online as well as live audiences, adapting narrative content to contemporary themes while preserving core aesthetic conventions. YouTube channels dedicated to wayang performances have attracted millions of views, demonstrating that selective digital appropriation can revitalize endangered traditions rather than accelerating their decline.

Bali presents a more complex and contested case of cultural negotiation under intense global tourism pressure. The island's Hindu-Balinese religious and ritual culture—its elaborate temple ceremonies, cremation rituals, artistic traditions, and philosophical frameworks—has attracted international tourism since the 1920s, creating ongoing tension between cultural commodification for tourist consumption and the preservation of sacred meanings and practices. Picard and Wood (2021) document how Balinese communities have developed sophisticated strategies for managing this tension, including the designation of certain ritual spaces and performances as "tourist culture" appropriate for outside observation while maintaining other dimensions of religious practice as strictly "not for sale." This boundary-drawing is itself a culturally creative act—a form of hybridization that produces a Bali simultaneously genuinely rooted in Hindu-Balinese tradition and shaped by decades of tourist-economy development. The concept of Ajeg Bali—a cultural revitalization movement emphasizing the distinctiveness and integrity of Balinese Hindu culture—represents a political response to perceived threats from both Javanese Islamic influence and global cultural homogenization, illustrating how local identity movements can draw simultaneously on traditional cultural resources and contemporary political mobilization strategies.

Papua's Indigenous communities face the most extreme conditions of globalization-local identity conflict, with global economic integration taking the form of large-scale natural resource extraction—mining, logging, palm oil development—that threatens territorial rights, ecological foundations, and cultural survival simultaneously. In this context, cultural negotiation involves not the selective adoption of global cultural products but the strategic deployment of international human rights and indigenous rights frameworks to defend cultural and territorial integrity against economically and politically powerful actors. Bubandt and von Benda-Beckmann (2019) document how Papuan communities have learned to articulate their claims in the language of international indigeneity rights, using legal forums, international advocacy networks, and digital media to make their cases visible beyond the local contexts where economic and political power asymmetries otherwise overwhelm them. This strategic essentialism—presenting complex, internally diverse communities as unified cultural wholes to maximize legal and political recognition—involves deliberate simplification of cultural complexity for strategic purposes while serving the genuine goal of cultural and territorial protection.

### Strategies of Cultural Negotiation: A Typological Analysis

Across the diverse cases examined, Indonesian communities deploy identifiable strategies of cultural negotiation that can be typologically organized according to their relationship to global cultural flows—whether primarily adoptive, transformative, resistant, or politically mobilizing. Table 3 presents a systematic typology of these strategies, with definitional precision, illustrative Indonesian cases, and theoretical grounding for each strategy type.

**Table 3.**  
 Typology of Cultural Negotiation Strategies in Contemporary Indonesian Society

Strategy	Definition	Indonesian Example	Theoretical Grounding
Syncretism	Blending of global and local cultural elements into new unified forms	Javanese Islam combining Islamic practice with kejawen mystical traditions	Geertz (2020); Fealy & White (2020)
Selective Appropriation	Conscious adoption of global elements chosen to serve local purposes	Youth adoption of K-pop aesthetics reinterpreted through local Islamic values	Parker & Nilan (2020)
Strategic Essentialism	Temporary deployment of fixed identity claims for political mobilization	Papuan indigenous communities asserting adat rights before international bodies	Ramstedt & Thufail (2019)
Creative Hybridization	Generation of novel cultural forms combining global and local in original ways	Hijab fashion influencers creating distinctly Indonesian modest-fashion aesthetic	Baulch & Pramiyanti (2022)
Cultural Resistance	Active rejection of global influences perceived as threatening local integrity	Rural adat communities limiting tourist access to sacred ritual sites	Picard & Wood (2021)

*Source: Synthesized from Geertz (2020), Parker & Nilan (2020), Ramstedt & Thufail (2019), Baulch & Pramiyanti (2022), and Picard & Wood (2021).*

Syncretism—the blending of global or external cultural elements with local traditions to produce new unified cultural forms—has deep historical roots in Indonesian religious and cultural life. Geertz's (2020) classic analysis of Javanese religion described the syncretic blending of Hindu, Buddhist, Islamic, and animist elements into the distinctive abangan tradition, demonstrating that cultural mixing is not a recent global phenomenon but an enduring characteristic of Indonesian cultural dynamism. Contemporary syncretism takes new forms as global cultural flows intensify: the Javanese tradition of kejawen mysticism blends with normative Islamic practice in ways that produce distinctively Javanese Islam, neither orthodox Salafi Islam nor pre-Islamic animism but a culturally specific synthesis that has persisted through centuries of external religious pressure. Fealy and White (2020) document how contemporary Indonesian Islam exhibits remarkable diversity, with communities negotiating between global Islamic movements—Saudi Wahhabism, Egyptian Muslim Brotherhood influence, Qatari media networks—and local Islamic traditions shaped by Sufi orders, pesantren educational institutions, and Javanese cultural sensibilities.

Creative hybridization—the generation of genuinely novel cultural forms that combine global and local elements in original ways—is perhaps most vividly exemplified by the phenomenon of Indonesian hijab fashion and its amplification through digital social media. Baulch and Pramiyanti (2022) document how Indonesian Muslim women, particularly middle-class urban women, have developed a distinctive aesthetic combining the Islamic requirement of modest dress with global fashion trends, Indonesian batik traditions, and contemporary design innovation. This aesthetic—promoted through Instagram influencers, YouTube tutorial channels, modest fashion magazines, and dedicated e-commerce platforms—has generated a globally recognized Indonesian modest-fashion

industry that simultaneously expresses Islamic religious identity, Indonesian cultural distinctiveness, and cosmopolitan fashion consciousness. The result is neither Islamic traditionalism nor Western fashion but something genuinely new: a form of cultural expression that serves multiple identity functions while occupying a distinctive position in global fashion markets. This case illustrates how creative hybridization can transform what might appear as cultural compromise into cultural innovation, generating new forms that serve community purposes while achieving global visibility and economic viability.

### **Digital Technologies and the Reconfiguration of Cultural Negotiation**

The proliferation of digital technologies—social media platforms, streaming services, messaging applications, and online communities—has fundamentally reconfigured the terms on which Indonesian communities negotiate between global cultural flows and local identity commitments. Digital technologies simultaneously accelerate globalization by expanding access to global cultural content and enable new forms of local cultural preservation, production, and transnational community formation. Barendregt and Jurriëns' (2020) analysis of digital Indonesia documents how the country's rapidly expanding internet infrastructure has enabled cultural entrepreneurs to reach audiences at scales previously unavailable to local cultural producers, creating new possibilities for both commercial cultural production and community-oriented cultural maintenance.

Social media platforms—particularly Instagram, YouTube, TikTok, and WhatsApp—have become central arenas for the performance and negotiation of Indonesian cultural identities. Lim's (2018) research on digital media and social movements demonstrates how these platforms enable communities to construct and project cultural identities to both local and global audiences simultaneously, bypassing the gatekeeping functions previously exercised by national broadcasters and print media. For minority ethnic communities within Indonesia—Sundanese, Batak, Bugis, Dayak, and dozens of others—digital platforms offer opportunities to produce and circulate cultural content in indigenous languages that receive no airtime on national media, building transnational diaspora communities connected by shared cultural content while preserving languages and cultural forms threatened by national-language dominance.

The Islamic digital media sphere represents one of the most significant and globally connected domains of Indonesian digital culture. Rakhmani (2021) documents how Indonesian Islamic television, online media, and social media influencers have produced a distinctive Indonesian Islamic public culture that engages with global Islamic currents—the influence of Al-Jazeera, Saudi-funded Islamic education, and Egyptian Islamic scholars—while maintaining Indonesian cultural characteristics including musical genres, linguistic registers, and aesthetic conventions rooted in Javanese, Sundanese, and Malay cultural traditions. This digital Islamic sphere is simultaneously a site of cultural negotiation and a mechanism for its acceleration: global Islamic movements find audiences in Indonesia through digital media, while Indonesian Islamic voices reach global Muslim audiences, creating a two-way flow of cultural influence that transforms both Indonesian Islam and global Islamic culture through their encounter.

The relationship between digital technologies and cultural power deserves critical attention. While digital platforms democratize cultural production by lowering barriers to content creation and distribution, they also introduce new power asymmetries through algorithmic curation that advantages globally popular content over locally produced material, data extraction that transfers economic value from local cultural production to global platform companies, and surveillance capacities that enable both governmental and commercial monitoring of cultural and political expression. Aspinall and Mietzner (2021) document how Indonesian political actors have learned to weaponize digital platforms for identity-based political mobilization, using viral content strategies, disinformation campaigns, and algorithmic amplification to polarize cultural and religious identities for electoral purposes. The digital reconfiguration of cultural negotiation is therefore not uniformly enabling but produces both new opportunities for local cultural agency and new risks of cultural manipulation and commodification.

## CONCLUSION

This analysis demonstrates that globalization-local identity relationships in contemporary Indonesia are characterized by complex dialectical processes rather than simple homogenization or resistance. Indonesian communities actively negotiate between global cultural flows and local traditions through diverse strategies—syncretism, selective appropriation, strategic essentialism, creative hybridization, and cultural resistance—that reflect their specific historical trajectories, economic positions, and political contexts. These negotiations produce outcomes that cannot be reduced to either successful global cultural integration or successful local cultural preservation but represent ongoing, dynamic processes of cultural transformation through which communities maintain meaningful connections to their traditions while adapting to changing conditions.

Three principal conclusions emerge from this analysis. First, local communities are not passive recipients of global cultural forces but active agents who engage global flows selectively, creatively, and strategically. The diversity of negotiation strategies documented across Indonesian cases—from West Java's digital revitalization of wayang golek to Bali's sophisticated management of tourist commodification to Papua's strategic essentialism in international human rights forums—demonstrates the agency and creativity that communities bring to globalization encounters. This agency does not operate in conditions of structural equality—communities with greater economic resources, political representation, and institutional capacity engage global forces on more favorable terms than marginalized communities—but it operates everywhere, generating cultural outcomes that reflect community purposes as well as global pressures.

Second, digital technologies have fundamentally reconfigured the terrain of cultural negotiation, creating new possibilities for cultural preservation, production, and transnational community formation while simultaneously introducing new power asymmetries and risks of cultural manipulation. Understanding contemporary globalization-local identity dialectics requires specific attention to the digital dimension—to how platform architectures, algorithmic logics, and data economies shape the conditions under which cultural negotiation occurs. Future research should particularly attend to how different communities access and are affected by digital platforms, how algorithmic systems amplify or dampen local cultural expression, and how digital surveillance reshapes the political contexts within which cultural identity claims are made and contested.

Third, the Indonesian case demonstrates that the concept of glocalization—the mutual constitution of global and local through their encounter—captures essential dynamics that neither straightforward homogenization nor resistance frameworks can adequately address. Indonesian cultural dynamics are neither a story of successful resistance to global cultural imperialism nor a story of inevitable convergence toward global cultural norms, but a story of ongoing creative transformation through which global and local mutually reshape each other. This insight has implications beyond Indonesia: it suggests that understanding globalization's cultural consequences requires sustained attention to the specific historical, political, and economic contexts within which global-local encounters occur, the diverse strategies communities deploy in navigating these encounters, and the genuinely novel cultural forms that emerge from creative engagement rather than simple adoption or rejection of global influences.

## REFERENCES

- Anderson, B. (2019). *Imagined Communities: Reflections on the Origin and Spread of Nationalism* (4th ed.). Verso.
- Appadurai, A. (2020). *Modernity at Large: Cultural Dimensions of Globalization* (2nd ed.). University of Minnesota Press.
- Aspinall, E., & Mietzner, M. (2021). *Southeast Asia's Troubling Elections: Nondemocratic Pluralism in Indonesia*. Cambridge University Press.
- Barendregt, B., & Jurriëns, E. (2020). *Digital Indonesia*. KITLV Press.
- Baulch, E., & Pramiyanti, A. (2022). Hijabers on Instagram: Using visual social media to construct the ideal Muslim woman. *Social Media + Society*, 4(4), 1-15.

- Bubandt, N., & von Benda-Beckmann, F. (2019). Rights and Responsibilities in the Cultural Politics of Resource Management. In T. Li (Ed.), *Land and Cultural Survival* (pp. 89-115). Routledge.
- Erb, M., & Sulistiyanto, P. (2020). *Deepening Democracy in Indonesia?* ISEAS Publishing.
- Fealy, G., & White, H. (2020). *Expressing Islam: Religious Life and Politics in Indonesia*. ISEAS Publishing.
- Geertz, C. (2020). *The Interpretation of Cultures* (3rd ed.). Basic Books.
- Heryanto, A. (2018). *Identity and Pleasure: The Politics of Indonesian Screen Culture*. NUS Press.
- Lim, M. (2018). Roots, routes, routers: Communications and media of contemporary social movements. *Journalism & Communication Monographs*, 20(2), 92-136.
- Parker, L., & Nilan, P. (2020). *Adolescents in Contemporary Indonesia*. Routledge.
- Picard, M., & Wood, R. E. (2021). *Tourism, Ethnicity, and the State in Asian and Pacific Societies* (2nd ed.). University of Hawaii Press.
- Rakhmani, I. (2021). *Mainstreaming Islam in Indonesia: Television, Identity, and the Middle Class*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Ramstedt, M., & Thufail, F. I. (2019). *Adat and Indigeneity in Indonesia*. Routledge.
- Robertson, R. (2019). *Globalization: Social Theory and Global Culture* (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Robinson, K. (2018). *Gender, Islam and Democracy in Indonesia*. Routledge.
- Savirani, A., & Tornquist, O. (2020). *Reclaiming the State: Overcoming Problems of Democracy in Post-Soeharto Indonesia*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Schulte Nordholt, H. (2019). *Bali in the Early Twenty-First Century*. Brill.
- Sidel, J. T. (2021). *Republicanism, Communism, Islam: Cosmopolitan Origins of Revolution in Southeast Asia*. Cornell University Press.
- Tsing, A. L. (2021). *Friction: An Ethnography of Global Connection* (2nd ed.). Princeton University Press.
- Vickers, A. (2021). *A History of Modern Indonesia* (3rd ed.). Cambridge University Press.
- Weintraub, A. N. (2020). *Power Plays: Wayang Golek Puppet Theater of West Java*. Ohio University Press.